Ceramics fillers enhancing effects on the dielectric properties of poly (vinylidene fluoride) matrix composites prepared by the torque rheometer method
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ABSTRACT
The dielectric properties of ceramic-polymer composites were investigated using different lead-free ferroelectrics, 0.50[Ba(Zr0.2Ti0.8)O3]–0.50(Ba0.5Ca0.5)TiO3 (BZT-BCT) and 0.96(K0.48Na0.52)(Nb0.95Sb0.05)O3–0.04Bi0.5(Na0.82K0.18)0.5ZrO3 (KNNS-BNKZ), as fillers to fabricate composites using poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) as the matrix. The composites were prepared at x = 35, 45, 50, and 65 wt% according to the formulas x(BZT-BCT)–(1-x)PVDF and xKNNS-BNKZ–(1-x)PVDF by applying melt mixing using a torque rheometer and a hot-pressing process. The distribution of the ceramic filler in the PVDF matrix was examined using a scanning electron microscope and X-ray diffraction analysis. Dielectric, ferroelectric, and piezoelectric analyses were also carried out, the results of which are discussed herein. The dielectric constant and dielectric loss were determined for the pure components and for composites with different ceramic contents within the frequency range of 100 Hz–1 MHz. An increase in the BZT-BCT or KNNS-BNKZ amount resulted in an increase in the dielectric constant of the composites, and at RT and a 1 kHz frequency for x = 65 wt%, both composites showed the highest dielectric constant (ε') of ~48. The piezoelectric coefficient d33 reached peaks of 10 pC/N (BZT-BCT/PVDF) and 5 pC/N (KNNS-BNKZ/PVDF) measured 24 h after poling at 100 Hz.

Introduction
In general, polymer materials are unsuitable for use in capacitors owing to their low dielectric constant; however, their mechanical strength, easy processability, and light weight make them good candidates for use in next-generation flexible electronic devices [1–3]. By contrast, ferroelectric ceramics have high dielectric constants but are brittle and require high processing temperatures. Thus, in practice, it is extremely difficult to achieve a combination of good dielectric and mechanical properties with high processability at ambient temperatures in single phase materials [4]. Lead-free ceramic–polymer composites are a viable alternative for improving the dielectric properties of polymeric films owing to their high dielectric constant, flexibility, non-toxicity, and ease of processing through a melt solution, casting, extrusion, injection, and press molding [5–9].

Among the various types of polymers available, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)-based materials are extremely attractive and are commonly used as a composite matrix owing to their high dielectric constant (~10 at room temperature), thermal stability, chemical resistance, good mechanical properties, and extraordinary pyroelectric and piezoelectric properties. In addition, PVDF is a semi-crystalline polymer that exists in four different phases, α, β, γ, and δ, depending on the preparation conditions, making it suitable for various technological applications including capacitors, piezoelectric sensors, and pyroelectric detectors [10–13].

However, recent studies on potassium-sodium niobate (KNN) and barium zirconate titanate (BZT)-based ceramics have determined that the materials can be used as composite ceramic fillers owing to their excellent dielectric and piezoelectric properties, high Curie temperature (Tc), and ferroelectric properties [14–20]. In fact, grain-oriented KNN-based piezoelectric ceramics can exhibit high d33 values ranging from 390 to 490 pC/N and Tc ranging from 217 °C to 304 °C [21,22], which can be used to replace lead-based systems. Although Mishra and Kumar studied BZT-PVDF ceramic–polymer composites [23,24], they applied a different fabrication process and considered the dielectric behavior within a narrow temperature range. In addition, Chi et al. [25] studied BZT-PVDF but applied nanoparticles and nanofibers as fillers as well as a melt solution fabrication process [26].
The aim of this study is to compare the dielectric and piezoelectric properties of xBZT-BCT-(1-x)PVDF composites and xKNNS-BNKZ-(1-x)PVDF composites using XRD patterns of the PVDF, BZT-BCT and xBZT-BCT-(1-x)PVDF composites and (b) PVDF, KNNS-BNKZ and xKNNS-BNKZ-(1-x)PVDF composites, respectively.

Experimental

Preparation of ceramic samples

Ceramic samples were synthesized using a solid-state reaction method [19,22]. The starting materials (reactants), i.e., barium carbonate (BaCO3, 99% purity), barium zirconate (BaZrO3, 99% purity), calcium carbonate (CaCO3, 99% purity), and titanium(IV) oxide (TiO2, 99% purity) for 0.50[Ba(Zr0.2Ti0.8)O3]−0.50(Ba0.7Ca0.3)TiO3 (BZT-BCT), and sodium carbonate (Na2CO3, 99.9% purity), potassium carbonate (K2CO3, 99.8% purity), niobium(V) oxide (Nb2O5, 99.9% purity), antimony (III) oxide (Sb2O5, 99.5% purity), bismuth (III) oxide (Bi2O3, 99.9% purity), and zirconium (IV) oxide (ZrO2, 99% purity) for 0.96(K0.48Na0.52)(Nb0.95Sb0.05)O3 (KNNS-BNKZ), were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich, Germany. The powders were weighed according to a stoichiometric formula, mixed, and ball milled for 24 h using zirconia balls and ethanol in a polyethylene jar. After milling, the mixture was filtered to separate the Zirconia balls, and the resulting powder was dried at 150 °C for 24 h. The dried powders were calcined at 900 °C for 10 h with a heating rate of 5 °C/min, further milled for 12 h, and dried again for 24 h. These calcined powders were pressed into pellets and sintered at 1,350 °C and 1,100 °C for 3 h with a heating rate of 5 °C/min for BZT-BCT and KNNS-BNKZ, respectively. Finally, the sintered pellets were crushed again into a powder using a mortar and pestle for structural experimentation.

Composite preparation

The ceramic-polymer composites were prepared through melt mixing with a torque rheometer (Brabender Gmbh & Co., KG model 835205). A PVDF with an average molecular weight of 534,000, supplied by Sigma–Aldrich, was used as the matrix. For the fabrication of the composites, sintered BZT-BCT and KNNS-BNKZ ceramics were used as the fillers based on the general formula xBZT-BCT-(1-x)PVDF and xKNNS-BNKZ-(1-x)PVDF (where x = 35, 45, 50, and 65 wt%). Mixing was conducted at 200 °C for 10 min under a high shear rate of 60 rpm. Each mixed sample was hot pressed using a Lab-Tech hydraulic press (model LP 208), at 200 °C for 5 min at 70 bars with preheating and cooling times of 15 and 1 min, respectively, to obtain homogenized composites of 0.4 mm in thickness.

Characterization techniques

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the ceramic powders, PVDF, and composite samples were analyzed using a Bruker Endeavor X-ray diffractometer (model D4/Max-Bat, 20 mA and 40 kV) with Cu-Kα radiation of λ = 1.541 Å under a low scan rate of 0.02°/min and 2θ ranging from 5° to 90°. A morphological characterization was carried out on all prepared samples using an SEM (Joel Model JSM 6300 LY) with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. The fractured PVDF and composite specimens were immersed in liquid nitrogen and sputtered-coated with a 50-nm-thick gold layer. For the dielectric measurements, the samples were first gold coated by sputtering to form electrodes on both sides. A precision LCR meter (Agilent E4980A) was used to measure the dielectric properties within a frequency range of 100 Hz–1 MHz and a temperature range of −120 °C–120 °C. After completing the dielectric studies, the ferroelectric properties of the samples were obtained (by applying an external voltage of 10 kV with a frequency of 0.1 Hz) at room temperature using an HP 3325B synthesizer/function generator and a TREK 10/40 high-voltage amplifier. The samples were then poled in a silicon oil bath at 110 °C for 30 min with a dc electric field of 10 kV/mm. The as-polled samples (i.e., samples soon after poling) and samples poled after 24 h were characterized based on their piezoelectric charge coefficient d33 at room temperature using a Berlincourt-type meter at 100 Hz.

Results and discussion

X-Ray diffraction (XRD)

Fig. 1(a) and (b) show the XRD patterns of the PVDF and BZT-BCT and KNNS-BNKZ ceramics and composites, respectively. The XRD pattern of the PVDF shows the presence of a semi-crystalline structure, and indicates that the PVDF exists in both mixed α and γ phases together [27,28]. The diffraction peaks at 2θ = 17.7°, 18.4°, 19.8°, and 35.8° are assigned to the (1 1 0), (0 2 0), (1 1 1), and (2 0 0) reflections of the α phase PVDF. The diffraction peaks at 2θ = 26.7° and 38.7° are assigned
to the (0 2 2) and (2 1 1) reflections of the γ phase PVDF [23,28–30]. The diffraction peaks of the sintered BZT-BCT and KNNS-BNKZ ceramic samples show a typical perovskite phase without an unwanted secondary phase, as reported by [21,31–33].

The XRD patterns of all composites demonstrate the presence of ceramics and a PVDF polymer (marked with *, + and #, respectively). When the fraction of ceramic fillers increases in the composites, the peaks of the PVDF gradually reduce and the relative intensity of BZT-BCT and KNNS-BNKZ begin dominating the crystalline nature of the composites. This can be explained as the diffraction intensities of BZT-BCT and KNNS-BNKZ being far higher than those of the PVDF [34].

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

Fig. 2 shows the SEM micrographs of the pure PVDF polymer and composites. Fig. 2(a) shows an image of a fractured cross-sectional surface of a PVDF polymer, and it can be seen that the molecules formed a continuous phase without pores inside the matrix, as expected for pure PVDF. Fig. 2(b)–(e) show SEM micrographs of the cross-sections of the composites with different weight fractions of the ceramic fillers. The ceramic particles BZT-BCT and KNNS-BNKZ are uniformly distributed and surrounded by the PVDF matrix, which is an indication of a typical 0–3 connectivity pattern [23], and the uniform distribution increases with an increase in the ceramic fillers in the PVDF matrix. Ceramic-polymer composites can be classified into different types depending on the connectivity pattern between the ceramic and polymer phases. In the case of 0–3 ceramic-polymer composites, the ceramic particles are connected in zero dimensions in a three-dimensionally interconnected polymer matrix, which means that the composite consists of separated ceramic particles randomly dispersed in a polymer matrix [9]. Thus, the connectivity pattern the dispersion of fillers plays a significant role in the dielectric properties of the composites, and a good dispersion is likely to contribute to excellent dielectric properties, as previously reported [27,29]. The images also indicate that the ceramic fillers have different particle sizes at the micrometer scale, which can be attributed to the hand grinding process.

As reported by Dang et al. [5], a torque rheometer method was selected owing to its good dispersive and distributive mixing capabilities. A torque rheometer method is generally the most popular fabrication route when using a thermoplastic polymer as a matrix.
owing to its simple technique (it is not necessary to use a solvent) and wide application in practical production because of its convenience, low cost, and mass production capability [35]. In fact, Rawat et al. [28], Behera et al. [34], and Thongbai et al. [36], using hot pressing and solution casting methods instead, obtained composites with pores and an agglomeration, leading to a poor dispersion and weak interfacial interaction between the fillers and polymer matrices.

Fig. 3. Variation in dielectric constant and dielectric loss (Tan δ) with frequency for (a) BZT-BCT, (b) KNNS-BNKZ ceramics, and (c) pure PVDF polymer at RT.

Fig. 4. Variation of (a) dielectric constant and (b) dielectric loss (Tan δ) with frequency for xBZT-BCT–(1-x)PVDF and xKNNS-BNKZ–(1-x)PVDF composites at RT.

Fig. 3 shows the frequency dependence of both the dielectric constant and dielectric loss (Tan 8) for ceramic samples and a pure PVDF polymer. The RT values of the dielectric constant and dielectric loss of the ceramic samples at a frequency of 1 kHz were found to be ~945 and 0.020 for BZT-BCT and ~1933 and 0.033 for KNNS-BNKZ, and for a pure PVDF polymer were determined to be ~10.77 and 0.025. The
The dielectric constant for the PVDF is mostly frequency independent and for ceramics decreases with frequency. In the case of ceramics, a decrease in the dielectric constant may occur owing to a decrease in polarization with an increase in frequency, as reported by Mishra et al. [23].

By contrast, the dielectric loss for the BZT-BCT decreases from 100 Hz to 10 kHz and then starts increasing at 1 MHz, whereas for KNNS-BNKZ ceramics it continuously increases with the frequency. For the PVDF, the dielectric loss decreases within a low-frequency range of 100 Hz to 1 kHz and then starts increasing from 1 MHz. This behavior is explained by the dielectric relaxation, which is a typical characteristic of such PVDF-based nonlinear dielectrics, as reported by Zhu and Wang [37,38].

The dielectric performances of BZT-BCT/PVDF and KNNS-BNKZ/PVDF composites with different weight fractions were measured. The frequency ranged from 100 Hz to 1 MHz at room temperature. Fig. 4(a) shows the dielectric constants of pure PVDF, BZT-BCT/PVDF, and KNNS-BNKZ/PVDF composites. As expected, the dielectric constant of all composites increases in comparison with pure PVDF but is much lower than for pure ceramics, as previously reported [28]. This remarkable enhancement in dielectric constant can be attributed to the higher dielectric constant of the ceramics and the Maxwell–Wagner—Sillars (MWS) interfacial polarization, which is mainly caused by the large difference in the dielectric constant and conductivity between the fillers and polymer matrix. By contrast, the values of the dielectric constant continuously decrease with an increase in frequency for all composites [8,38–40].

Fig. 4(b) shows the dielectric loss (Tan δ) of pure PVDF, BZT-BCT/PVDF, and KNNS-BNKZ/PVDF composites. It can be seen that the dielectric loss behavior of the composites is again dominated by the PVDF, decreasing within the 100 Hz to 1 kHz frequency range, and then continuously increases at up to a 1 MHz frequency. The decrease in dielectric loss at a lower frequency with the relaxation peak centered at around 10 Hz (αc relaxation) is attributed to the dipole relaxation along the chain axes of the α-form. By contrast, the increase in the dielectric loss at high frequency with a relaxation peak at approximately 1 MHz (αa relaxation) is attributed to both amorphous dipoles and dipoles at the crystal/amorphous interfaces, as reported by Zhu and Wang [29,38,41].

In recent studies, composites were fabricated in an attempt to incorporate oriented fillers into the matrix. You et al. [42] demonstrated a large enhancement of the dielectric constant of CCTO/epoxy composites based on a CCTO skeleton sintered at different temperatures. The authors found a high dielectric constant of 1091, 1600, and 1936, and the dielectric loss was found to be between approximately 0.5 and 1.5 for 49.8, 54.1, and 57.7 vol% of the CCTO at 1 kHz. As shown, this method is a good alternative for obtaining a high dielectric constant in ceramic-polymer composites.

It is clear that the much higher KNNS-BNKZ dielectric constant in comparison to that of the BZT-BCT is not reflected in the dielectric constant of the composite. In fact, for high ceramic weight concentrations it was found that xBZT-BCT–(1-x)PVDF achieves a higher dielectric constant.
Temperature dependence of dielectric properties

Fig. 5(a) shows the dielectric constant as a function of temperature at a 1 kHz frequency for the ceramic samples. As shown, the dielectric constant increases with temperature. The RT value for a dielectric constant at 1 kHz is approximately 945 for BZT-BCT and 1933 for KNNS-BNKZ, and at 120 °C the value increases to approximately 1041 for BZT-BCT and 2735 for KNNS-BNKZ. In the case of BZT-BCT, the dielectric constant reaches the maximum, and then decreases from the 120 °C temperature of the Tc [19].

Fig. 5(b) and (c) show the temperature dependence of the dielectric constant and dielectric loss (Tan δ) at a frequency of 1 kHz for the pure PVDF and the BZT-BCT/PVDF and KNNS-BNKZ/PVDF composites, respectively. As the figure indicates, three stages can be identified. The first is from −120 °C to −60 °C, where the dielectric constant for the PVDF and the composites is mostly independent of the temperature, indicating that the relaxation processes governing the dielectric constant are also unaffected by the temperature [34]. The second stage is identified within the range of −60 °C to 0 °C, where it is possible to see a step in the behavior owing to the glass transition of the PVDF at approximately −38 °C, and owing to the relaxation processes in the PVDF [34,38,43]. Finally, during the third stage, from 0 °C to 120 °C, the value of the dielectric constant increases continuously for the dipole and interfacial polarization induced by the ceramic filler and the thermal expansion of the PVDF matrix and ceramic [10].

In the dielectric loss, two peak regions can be seen, namely, from −60 °C to 0 °C and from 70 °C to 110 °C. The peak at low temperature can be explained from the rich relaxation processes of the PVDF. By contrast, the high-temperature peak is mainly caused by the interfacial relaxation arising from the ceramic–polymer interfaces [41].

Fig. 6. P-E hysteresis loop of (a) xBZT-BCT-(1-x)PVDF and (b)(c) xKNNS-BNKZ-(1-x)PVDF composites.

Fig. 7. Piezoelectric coefficient (d_{33}) of xBZT-BCT-(1-x)PVDF and xKNNS-BNKZ-(1-x)PVDF composites at RT.
Piezoelectric studies

Fig. 7 shows the piezoelectric charge coefficient (d33) of the xBZT-BCT-(1-x)PVDF and xKNNS-BNKZ-(1-x)PVDF composites with ceramic filler weight fractions of 35, 45, 50, and 65 wt% immediately and after 24 h of poling and at RT. It can be observed in the figure that the value of d33 increases with the amount of the ceramic filler, as reported by Zhang et al. [45], and Jain et al. [46]. As expected, the values measured 24 h after poling were slightly lower than the values recorded immediately after poling, which is due to the samples reaching the remanent polarization gradually over time, forcing some of the dipoles to return to their original position.

As reported by Thongsanitgarn et al. [47], the value of the piezoelectric coefficient (d33) for the PZT/PVDF composite was 16 pC/N (90/10 wt%). This was probably due to the different electric field used to pole the sample prior to the d33 measurement. A similar result was found by Renxin et al. [48] who reported a d33 value of approximately 15.5 pC/N for the PZT/PVDF composite (80/20 vol%). Finally, Pandey et al. [49] reported a d33 value of approximately 27.3 pC/N for the BCT-BZT/PVDF composite (25/75 wt%). In our case, the d33 value immediately after the poling was 11 pC/N for BZT-BCT and 6 pC/N for KNNS-BNKZ, whereas 24 h after poling it was reduced to 10 pC/N for BZT-BCT and 5 pC/N for KNNS-BNKZ with a ceramic filler of 65 wt% for both composites.

Conclusions

Piezoelectric BZT-BCT/PVDF and KNNS-BNKZ/PVDF 0–3 composites having a ceramic filler with weight fractions of 35%, 45%, 50%, and 65% were prepared through melt mixing and a hot press method, and characterized regarding their dielectric, ferroelectric, and piezoelectric properties. The XRD patterns showed sharp peaks corresponding to both the polymer and ceramic material. The SEM results showed that the melt mixing torque rheometer method allows composites with a homogeneous dispersion of fillers to be obtained.

The dielectric constant of all composites decreased with an increase in frequency, which can be attributed to the polarization relaxation, the interface polarization, and the dipole orientation polarization in the inner structure of the composites. The increase in the dielectric constant with an increase in the temperature and amount of ceramic filler was attributed to the dipole and interfacial polarization induced by the filler, as well as to the thermal expansion of the PVDF matrix and ceramic. Regarding the polarization response, the results indicate that it is significantly influenced by the incorporation of ceramic filler particles within the PVDF matrix. However, the piezoelectric coefficient increased with the amount of ceramic filler. Finally, it was concluded that the PVDF dominates the dielectric and piezoelectric behavior of the composites, and to obtain better properties, the PVDF processing method needs to be improved to allow the occurrence of a β-phase.
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